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ABSTRACT

The French strategy recommended by 2012-2015 Commission Nationale d’Evaluation reports [1] emphasizes preparation for a transition from Light Water Reactors (LWRs) to Sodium-Cooled Fast Reactors (SFRs). This paper uses CYCLUS, an agent-based simulator [7] to explore the feasibility of using Used Nuclear Fuel (UNF) from other EU nations for French transition into a SFR fleet without additional construction of LWRs. A CYCLUS simulation is run from 1950 to 2160 for EU to track the UNF mass and to determine the necessary reprocessing and mixed oxide (MOX) fabrication capacity to support the transition into SFRs. The study concludes that France can avoid deployment of additional LWRs by accepting UNF from other EU nations.

INTRODUCTION

This paper uses CYCLUS, the agent-based simulator [7] to analyze the future nuclear inventory in the European Union. This paper focuses on the used fuel inventory in European Union (EU) member states in 2050, and analyzes a potential strategy of used fuel management. A major focus of this paper is to determine the extent to which France has an incentive to receive all the UNF from EU nations to create MOX. The MOX created will fuel French transition to a SFR fleet and may allow France to avoid building additional LWRs.

Past research, which focuses solely on France, has made the assumptions that additional LWRs, namely European Pressurized Reactors (EPRs) are constructed in order to supply UNF required for MOX production [3, 11, 5]. There has been studies on implementation of partitioning and transmutation in a regional (European) context, with Accelerator-Driven Systems (ADSs) and Gen-IV reactors [4]. There has been little attention in reprocessing legacy UNF from other EU nations to produce MOX for the newly deployed SFRs. The present work finds that this collaborative strategy can reduce the need to construct additional LWRs in France.

METHODOLOGY

The work relies on CYCLUS, an agent-based simulator, to simulate the nuclear fuel cycle and track material flows in EU nations. The Power Reactor Information System (PRIS) open-source database from International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) was used to populate the simulation with deployment information. That database is imported as a csv file, listing the country, reactor unit, type, net capacity (MWe), status, operator, construction date, first criticality date, first grid date, commercial date, shutdown date (if applicable), and unit capacity factor for 2013. Then only the EU countries are extracted from the csv file. A python script is written up to generate a CYCLUS input file from the csv file, which lists the individual reactor units as agents. After running the CYCLUS input file, the output file is analyzed by another python script. All the scripts and data used in this paper are available in https://github.com/jbae11/transition-scenarios.

Two CYCLUS simulations are run for this paper. The first simulation calculates how much used fuel and tailings EU nations accumulate from 1970 to 2050, as well as the amount of MOX that can be created with the UNF inventory. The paper models a once-through cycle for all EU nations with the exception of France. France can reprocess used uranium oxide (UOX) and MOX to produce MOX from reprocessed plutonium and depleted uranium (tailings). The simulation assumes MOX is reprocessed infinitely.

After obtaining the UNF inventory of all EU in 2050, the second simulation is run where the UNF inventory is reprocessed and used as fuel for the newly deployed SFR reactors. The SFRs are deployed to make up for the decommissioned capacity of LWRs in France, to remain a constant installed capacity of 60,000 MWe up to 2160. SFR reactors in this paper models after the ASTRID reactor, and use MOX fuel created from 11% reprocessed plutonium and 89% tailings to a burnup of approximately 100 GWd/t. The high burnup allows breeding of plutonium. Eventually, the entire fleet of SFRs are fueled by MOX created from recycled MOX.

Assumptions

This paper makes the following assumptions:

- SFR technology available for deployment in 2040
- Decay has no effect on reprocessing viability
- Reactor construction is always completed on time
- Separated uranium is stockpiled
- LWRs have a lifetime of 60 years, unless stated otherwise (early shutdown)
- Newly deployed SFRs have a lifetime of 80 years.
- (Only for SFR Case) Reprocessing and MOX fabrication begins in 2020.
- (Only for SFR Case) French nuclear capacity remains constant at 60,000 MWe
- (Only for SFR Case) Infinite reprocessing and fabrication capacity
Deployment Timeline

Projections of future reactor deployment in this simulation were assessed based on analysis from references such as PRIS for reactors planned for construction [8], the World Nuclear Association and two other papers for future plans in EU nations [2, 9, 6]. The projections extend to 2050 at the latest. This allows the simulation to take place from 1970 to 2050, the latest foreseeable future. The specific plans for each EU nation are explained in detail in later sections.

It is also assumed that all reactors that are currently operating have a lifetime of 60 years, unless their government plans early shutdown. This will approximate when and how many SFRs need to be built to make up for the shutdown of LWRs.

French SFR Deployment Schedule

From 2040, when SFRs become available, 600-MWe SFRs are deployed to make up for the decommissioned LWR capacities. Note that a second separate simulation is run to emphasize France apart from all other EU nations.

Initially in 2040, 22 SFRs are deployed for the previously decommissioned LWRs. From then, SFRs are deployed to make up for the decommissioned LWR capacity. This results in an installed capacity of 60,000 MWe of SFR by 2076.

Depletion Calculations

Depletion calculations of the nuclear fuel are recipe-based, such that a fresh and used fuel recipe is used for each reactor type. For the compositions of the fuel, a reference depletion calculation from ORIGEN is used. The recipe has also been used for [12].

Scenario Descriptions

The simulation follows the model fuel cycle, where a ‘source’ provides natural uranium, which is enriched by an ‘enrichment’ facility to produce UOX, while disposing enrichment waste (tailings) to the ‘sink’ facility. The enriched UOX is used in the LWRs and UOX waste is produced. The used fuel is then reprocessed to separate plutonium and uranium. The plutonium is mixed with depleted uranium (tailings) to MOX. The reprocessed uranium is stockpiled.

The second scenario separates plutonium from the UNF inventory from the previous simulation. The separated plutonium is mixed with the depleted uranium inventory from the previous simulation to create MOX, which is used in the SFRs. The used MOX is also reprocessed to extract plutonium, which is also mixed with depleted uranium to produce MOX.

RESULTS

Historical Operation of EU Reactors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Specifics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total UOX Usage</td>
<td>MTHM</td>
<td>178,865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MOX Usage</td>
<td>MTHM</td>
<td>8,999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Used UOX Stored</td>
<td>MTHM</td>
<td>157,472</td>
<td>UNF that are not reprocessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Used MOX Stored</td>
<td>MTHM</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>UNF that are not reprocessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Tailings</td>
<td>MTHM</td>
<td>1,063,909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Natural U Used</td>
<td>MTHM</td>
<td>1,251,058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I lists the important metrics obtained from the first simulation. The following values are the EU inventory and history at year 2050.

Figures 2 and 3 display the timeseries of number of reactors and installed capacity in EU nations.

Fig. 1: French Transition into an SFR Fleet

Figure 1 displays the French transition into SFRs over time. The steep transition from 2035 to 2060 is due mainly to French aggressive growth from 1975 to 2000. Note the jump in 2040 is due to an attempt to make up for the gap between the mass decommission of old LWRs and the availability of SFRs.

Fig. 2: Timeseries of number of reactors in EU.
Fig. 3: Timeseries of installed nuclear capacity in EU.

Figures 4 and 6 show the timeseries of mass of tailings and used fuel accumulation in EU. Figure 5 shows the amount of fuel used in EU.

Fig. 4: Timeseries of Tailings Mass in the EU.

Fig. 5: Timeseries of Total Fuel Usage in EU.

Fig. 6: Timeseries of Used Nuclear Fuel in EU.

### Table II: Plutonium From Used Fuel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Mass Fraction in Used Fuel [%]</th>
<th>Quantity [t]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>.9358</td>
<td>1,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu238</td>
<td>.0111</td>
<td>17.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu239</td>
<td>.518</td>
<td>815.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu240</td>
<td>.232</td>
<td>365.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu241</td>
<td>.126</td>
<td>198.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pu242</td>
<td>.0487</td>
<td>76.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To create MOX for an ASTRID, 11% Pu and 89% depleted uranium is used. Thus, 1,473 tons of plutonium yields 13,390 tons of MOX. Table II lists the isotope, mass fraction, and quantity of plutonium that can be obtained from the 2050 UNF inventory.

**French SFR Transition Scenario**

From Varaine et al. [10], a French ASTRID-type SFR of capacity 600 MWe needs 1.225 tons of plutonium a year, with an initial plutonium loading of 4.9 tons. Thus, the number of SFRs that can be loaded with the reprocessed plutonium from UNF can be estimated to 

\[ \approx \frac{11.9}{4.9} \approx 300 \text{ SFRs}, \]

assuming infinite reprocessing and fabrication capacity as well as abundant depleted uranium supply.

Also, assuming that MOX can be recycled indefinitely, used MOX from an ASTRID reactor contains enough plutonium to produce a MOX fuel with the same mass, if mixed with depleted uranium. For example, used MOX from an ASTRID reactor is assumed to be 12.6% plutonium in this simulation, whereas a fresh MOX is 11% plutonium. Separating plutonium from used MOX from an ASTRID reactor can create MOX of the mass of used MOX. The plutonium breeding ratio in this simulation is thus assumed to be \( \approx 1.145 \).

The second scenario, with the tailings and used UOX inventory, evaluates if the French can transition into SFR without constructing additional LWRs. This simulation assumed infinite reprocessing and fabrication capacity.

Figure 7 shows the timeseries mass of MOX used in the SFRs separated by their origin. Note that the plot shows MOX accumulation prior to SFR deployment from 2020. Figure 9 shows the amount of reprocessing waste (minor actinides, fission products) over time. Note that reprocessing
waste from UOX reprocessing is substantially greater than waste from MOX reprocessing due to its lower plutonium and uranium content.

Figure 8 shows the isotopics of the plutonium that are reprocessed from the used fuel inventory.

Fig. 8: Plutonium timeseries separated by isotope

on will be met by MOX created from recycled MOX.

Since most EU nations do not have an operating UNF repository or a management plan, they have a strong incentive to send all their UNF to France. Especially, the nations with aggressive nuclear reduction can phase out nuclear without constructing a High Level Waste repository. France has a financial incentive to take this fuel, since reuse of used fuel from other nations will allow France to meet their MOX demand without new construction of LWRs.

Though complex political and economic factors have not been addressed, and various assumptions were made for this scenario, this option may hold value for the EU as a nuclear community, and for France to advance into a closed fuel cycle.
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